

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1894-95
191.

File No.

62794

Serial Nos. 1, 3, 4, 6, 8,

10, 13 & 14.

Later references.

(2 R.)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1, 3 to 6, 8, 10, 13 and 14.

Major ~~Part~~ B for June 28.

SUBJECT.

Complaint by certain individuals
against the levy of Khalsa
assessment (one Ransingh of Amritsar)

Continued

Index
K.R.

21

Controversial

submitted with the request
 to the committee (Council).
 by the Executive Board.
 ready to be sent
 back to the committee
 Branch for their
 of them with references
 after receipt.

23/1/19

J. R. H. H. H.

Now that we understand
 that in the situation
 we are dealing with
 after the general question
 is settled. It so

I have to do that
 + with a person
 you suggest

To Rev. Mr. H. H. H.
 23/1
 3/2

Submitted to Secretary
—

1. Aggadadevanthote Pannangar
(Zunker Dist)
2. Gundappa of Shenka
in the Hassan District

take objection to the im-
position of Khalsat on
Excess found in their minor
vicars.

— Pannangar's Petition
was forwarded to the District
for report & the report recd
is put up for orders.

— Gundappa's Petition
does not appear to have
been sent to the District
for report. On this the
D. C. Councillor was pleased
to order that the info
called for in Govt No.
no. 11816-24 of 19.1.94
(Copy put up for ref.) should
be collected. Thus, the
Petn is pending disposal
in connection with
the final question.

— The replies recd. from

(21)

known as regards the
Average Ellen discovered
by the survey are
before the 2nd Canal
They were submitted
on 17.2.96 and
on 7.9.96.

The point for orders
is -

Whether the two Refs
referred to above should
lie over pending the
final of the final question

As matters have got permitted
again it seems you
may need more money
to state that the
primary question is under
the consideration of
Govt. C.

Yr
12/2/97

Filed and for part
of each order on the primary
question - C.

17-2-97

Robm.
17/2/97

Submitted

98

The Deputy Commissioners
previous references quoted
within were submitted to
the 2nd Comptroller on 17.2.96
with Rs. 75 of 75 in re
average excess discovered
in the case of all lands
including Chann in each
Taluk of Madam Doob.

998.
4/7.

Subd for order

893 N
97/97 5/7/97

Subd to Secy Id 6/7/97

Subd 3-2-97 for
press of early orders

893
97/97

2/17/78

Report for

order

17/3/78

Put up a
short note
please

on these cases
19/3/78

SS

~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT.

Complaint of Rangiangar
against the imposition of
quit assessment on the excess
discovered in the area of his
Inam lands in the Kaniyal Taluk.

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 70 dated 20th Feb. 1893

from the Inam Suptt.

P. no. 1 and 2.

In 1895, Rangiangar
petitioned the Govt praying
for the cancellation of the
quit assessment imposed
on the excess discovered in
the area of his Inam lands
situated in Anurathoor and
certain other villages in
the Kaniyal Taluk, & for
the whole ^{area} being treated as
Inam, as before. The Petition
having been sent to the

+ P. no. 5

Dy Commr for report, that
officer stated that accord. + P.no. 6
ing to the Khalsat records
furnished by the Survey
Department, the total
extent of the Petitioner's
Inam lands was 192^A-38^G
acres at Rs 189-12-0, of
which 109^A-24^G bearing an
assessment of Rs. 124-12-0 were
confirmed as Inam and
the rest, viz 83^A-14^G acres
at Rs. ~~65/4~~^{65/4}, was treated as
Khalsat ~~and~~ and brought
under full assessment under
the Rules in force.

2. Under the orders of the 2^d
~~Govt~~ Councillor ~~Govt~~,
the Dy Commr's letter & the
Petition were forwarded + P.no. 7
to the Supt of Inam Settle-
-ments for a detailed re-
port of the Settlement of
the Inams in question, &
the Dy Commr was ~~in~~ in-
-structed to hold in abeyance
the recovery of the arrears

* P. No. 8

of Khalsat, account
due from Bungiingar. In
reply, the Enam Supdt, Sub-
mits a copy of the State-
ment showing the settle-
ment of the Enams in question,
with the intimation that
the Enams have been al-
located strictly in ac-
cordance with the Rules
in force. The Settlement
of the Enam was as shown
below:—

Village	Begarari as settled by the Enam Supdt	Equivalent in acres ac- cording to rule of conversion	Enam assigned		Enam Confirmed		Excess brought under Khalsat	
			Area	Asses- ment	Area	Asses- ment	Area	Assessment
			A. G.	Rs. Ps.	A. G.	Rs. Ps.	A. G.	Rs. Ps.
Holalgunda (All wet)	0-15-0	1-22	7-26	53-8-0	4-24	21-8-0	3-2	12-0-0
Ballekere (All dry)	0-6-0	3-39	4-15	3-3-0	4-15	3-3-0	nil	nil
Madichatti (All dry)	1-0-8	13-22	20-6	22-4-0	21-34	16-4-0	8-12	6-0-0
Shambaynatti (All dry)	0-10-8	6-37	10-3	8-0-0	8-20	6-2-0	1-23	1-4-0
Padavagere (Dry)	1-10-0	19-33	126-13	63-0-0	59-17	30-0-0	67-1	33-0-0
Kodagihalli (All wet)	1-5-15	2-28	3-10	14-0-0	3-39	15-3-0	0-11	1-3-0
Amratore (Dry)	0-2-0	1-13	3-1	3-0-0	2-7	2-4-0	0-34	0-12-0
Amratore (Wet)	1-16-0	3-29	7-27	23-8-0	5-23	29-0-0	1-39	9-3-0
Total.	7-5-18	53-23	192-38	189-12-0	107-24	124-12-0	33-14	65-0-0

The Inam lands pay a
quit rent of Rs. 39-12-0.

3. The grounds urged by + P. no. 1.
the ~~petitioner~~ petitioner
in support of his prayer
are:—

(1) That the Inams in question,

the Bijanari of which had
been entered Takwar in
the Inamti accounts, were
measured once in Nanda-

(1892-1893)
na, 62 years ago, and again

some time subsequent to
(1842-43)
Subhakarita (old 3/50), and

although some excess was
then discovered, it was not
taken into account & the
lands were treated as Inam.

(2) That at the time of the
grant of the Inam lands,
their surrounding numbers
were all old cultivated
holdings ^{are} even now under
cultivation. That being so,

it is apparent that there
was no room for encroachment.
Further, the lands were all

confirmed as Inam at the
Inam Settlement. 7

(3) That, formerly, the keja-
-vari of a land was determined
according to the quantity
of the grain that could be sown
in it. It may be that
there is difference between
the old measurement of
the year & Vardana and
the Survey measurement,
& it is perhaps due to the
difference between the
old & the present measure
of a yard.

4) The boundaries of the
Inam land in the Para-
-vare village remain
as originally fixed, & none,
under such circumstances,
Nos. 80, 81 and 84 ~~of the~~
can be treated as hikalsat,
is not apparent.

5) That, if, in addition to
the quit rent and the cesses
amounting to Rs. 0.75, the khunt
assessment is also to be paid,

* On one side by an old cart
track, on two sides by the
boundary lines of other
Inam villages & on the 4th
side by "Kalla marahi".

Local irrigation cess
& charged in the Survey
assessment.

the annual payment will
come to about Rs. 120/-, &
the lands will be no better
than Kandayam lands, & thus
the original object of the
Grant will be frustrated.

(6) ~~Under the orders~~ For the
foregoing reasons, the levy
of Khiriat may be cancelled,
all the lands being treated
as Grant, under the orders
of the Dewan, recorded in
connection with Subject No 130
of the D. A. Secretary's Office
of 1891.

Subject No 130 is as follows:—

Summary of
Discussion.] In cases of minor
Grant lands, whose
boundaries are well defined,
~~the Survey~~, the Survey Dept
have found some excess area
& charged the same with
assessment, which should
be cancelled & the area
on the old Berig & the irrigation
cost charged on such excesses
found by the Survey Dept
should also be remitted

Dever's reply... Stones themselves
are not reliable boundary
marks as they can be so
easily shifted. Prove the
old boundaries in any indi-
vidual case without mistake
and we shall not look the
excess in area now found
on measurement.

4. The revised rules for dealing
with excess in Amior Quams
in Settled Maidan Taluks
are published under Govt
order No. 5046-54 3/12/27,
Cl. 128
a copy of which is sub-
mitted for reference -

5. It is not known whether
in this case the boundaries
of the Amior Quams as originally
defined, were verified and
found correct at the time
~~of the time~~ of their measure-
ment by the Survey Dept.
The Amior Quams Survey Station
that the Amior Quams have been
allocated ^{to them} according to the Amior.
Dever's reply

23 N N
11/4/98. 11/4/98

12

This report was called for
by the [unclear] - It may now
have gone to the [unclear] Eastern
Division. I have [unclear] in
the file & await [unclear]
orders on a similar
petition from the Hawaiian
District -

RD 7 PM 13.4.98. Bldg. 13/4/98

The petitions from the [unclear]
District having been [unclear]
in [unclear] 28-11-10971 &
RD 2123 RD 2123
171 & 185 May 1998, this is [unclear]
for orders.

RD 2140 of Dec 9
RD 9105 of Dec B.

2/21
2/5/98

Filed to Rev. E. Du. 26/5/98

Remarks by the Rev. Cr. E. Du.

Decline to interfere.

27-5-98

20604
28/5/98

28/5/98

23125/197
23125/197
23125/197

1895

Name of Petitioner. *Raufiginger - Raufiger*

Tabak.

Date of Arri.

Date of Issue

Date of Disposal:

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Reminded with reference of 7-13-95
representing that when the
land was sold he would be
charged on his land and
and other villages of principal
lands return them or receive them to
be returned to the land and
the land also was offered
and that it was not first in
landed matter so to give
of the land separated the
and charged that with
giving that the collection
should be made by the
landed matter so to give

Letters that has not been received
then either in the District or the Province,
and the Public Authorities, are not to be

Sub to the Rev. Committee
with reference to the order
received on 3rd Dec 1842.

In this case, petitioner
pays a several ~~affidavits~~
made by him to the court.

Subject No. 30 of the *Annales*
Bibliothèque de la Société de la
of 1877, registered in the Section
as follows: —

Summary of In case of minor stream
diversion - lands whose boundaries
are well defined, the same
Dept have found some cases
where changed to come into
possession which should be
cancelled and the case filed
in the old series and the
navigation case changed in such
cases found in the wrong series
should also be corrected.

Admiral's reply. — Stone & kermes are not reliable boundary marks as they can be so easily missed. Above the old boundaries in any individual case without mistake and we shall not avoid the error in area now found on measurement.

6/22/90
Sent to Dr. Conner
Langner and

Project
MIA

the order of the higher authorities. That is, I learned in this a
notion to say that if he failed to pay the amount in question
would without any further notice be attached. That in addition
to asking the above authorities to stop the proceedings and thus save
him from expense pending disposal of his previous petition
he also submitted a petition with deposit on 9-4-95 praying
for the disposal of the matter and for an order to the collector
directing him to stop the sale. That in reply he was sent an
orderment returning his petition for the non-submission of the
order of the collector. That in the meanwhile the collector without
taking his previous into consideration was about to be reporting
the matter to the higher authorities that he is a petitioner for
the sale of the land. That the date being fixed on 22-5-95 that on 22-4-95 & 27-4-95
he again submitted a petition with deposit, praying for early disposal
of the matter and the stopping of collection till the order. That
the above petitions were returned to him advising that they had not
accompanied the land with order. That he could not keep if the
collector did not permit him any endorsement or report with respect.
That it would be difficult for a poor boy to pull on the higher
authorities to decline to show their favour merely because the collector
refuses to permit endorsement for some reason or other. That on account
of this should be case this property worth thousands of Rs. and also his house.
That the date of sale is very near. That the higher authorities would save
the his representation & his consideration. That he has now day and
submitted a petition with deposit ^{that} part of the money was paid that the amount
due by him would be paid off the collector's account. He would pay it. That
the same might be held in deposit and the matter disposed of. Prays
that the matter may be disposed of in accordance with the proceedings of 1894.
And that his claims may be confirmed and thereby his house saved.

105.
1759

2202021
1076.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(3)

2/2
6/4-9/1
3

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition of
Registered No. 2342 dated 22 March 1895
received at the Dewan's Office from
Rangiah of 21, 1895 at Mysore
the petitioning that the
land charged on his
own lands at Amritur
Kinnigal Taluk may be
cancelled
and the same be


is forwarded, together with its *petition*
enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner
of the *Mysore* District
enclosure & report
for disposal.

By order,

Def.
BANGALORE, *in view* *Chief Secretary.*
30-5-1895
10/6.
Recorder
11/6/95-
M.G.C.P.B.-254-95-500.
11/6

1.1

1895



From
The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
To
The Revenue Department
The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

17¹ } June 1895.
18 }

Enclosures No. 3

No. 1430

Recommending the petition of Ram-
gengar of Beggadadevan Kote,
as the subject matter of the com-
plaint relates to the Tumkur
District.

Recd

20/6/95

The letter should have been sent to the
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

O M. R. R. R.
attached to the letter

No. 1430
Enclosures No. 3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore 17th June 1895.
18

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

Revenue Government
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Deputy~~ of Mysore.

SIR.

I have the honor to return herewith the
petition of Rangiergar of Kaggada-Devanahalli
received with your memo No. 1759 of the 30th
Ret. May last, as the subject matter of the complaint
relates to the Tumkur District.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obed. servant,

[Signature]

Deputy Commissioner
of Mysore District

Ret. 6 8
1955

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE, (6)

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM. (5)

Section N. R.
File No. 62-914
Subj. No. 5

The accompanying Petition No. 82342
+ 3596 dated 27 March
+ dated 8 May 1895 - received at the
Dewan's Office from Kanjuraj
of Kiggaer and hote, praying
that the halsal - charged
on his inam lands
at Amritur, Kurigal
Taluk, may be cancelled.

is forwarded, together with its Res. ne
enclosures, to the Jy. Commr
Tumkur for
enquiry & report.

2. The petition & the
enclosure should be
returned.

By Order,

Draft 24/6/95

BENGALORE,
24-6-1895


Chief Secretary

Recd:

Resd. 24/6
Shree

M.G.C.P.B.-19-2-95-1,000

Gen. Serial No.



Section

File No

Serial No

From

Summit District

26/8/95

Ref: 2nd 1st 2nd 3rd 4th 5th 6th 7th 8th 9th 10th 11th 12th 13th 14th 15th 16th 17th 18th 19th 20th 21st 22nd 23rd 24th 25th 26th 27th 28th 29th 30th 31st 32nd 33rd 34th 35th 36th 37th 38th 39th 40th 41st 42nd 43rd 44th 45th 46th 47th 48th 49th 50th 51st 52nd 53rd 54th 55th 56th 57th 58th 59th 60th 61st 62nd 63rd 64th 65th 66th 67th 68th 69th 70th 71st 72nd 73rd 74th 75th 76th 77th 78th 79th 80th 81st 82nd 83rd 84th 85th 86th 87th 88th 89th 90th 91st 92nd 93rd 94th 95th 96th 97th 98th 99th 100th

The Revenue Secretary
to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 22nd August 1895

Despatched 23rd do

Received

Enclosure No. 4

General No.

No. 223

For Govt. Memo No.

3rd dated the 2nd June 1895

Reporting on the
petition of Ranganatha
of Ranganatha

Please read
reminders shorter
under

11/9/95

Accepted

2/9/95

To Rev. Sec. Mysore

Draft reminder Subd.

4/9

2. The files No. 24 of 92 and 92 of 93 containing replies to order No. 11 of 92 of 93 from all Dy. Commrs. except Tumkur & Shimoga, was sent to 2nd Comdr on 17.2.96 with G.O. No. 322 of 95 from the Dy. Commr. Hassan. The Dy. Commr. Shimoga has not come back with the orders. Replies from Tumkur and Shimoga were also sent to 2nd Comdr on 7.8.96 in continuation and have not been sent back yet.

46 203
14

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur 22nd August 1905

To The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your

Official Memoirs. Oct dated the 24th
1905

June 1895 forwarding for enquiry
and report petition from Ganganna

of Haggadevanthote praying that

the Chalcot charged on his Nam

lands at Amerur and other villages

in the Tinnival Taluk may be

cancelled, I have the honor to state

that as shown in the accompanying

Extract from the revised Chalcot

Register, received from the ^{Survey} Superin-

-tendent in April 1894, the petitioner

holds Quano in the Tinnival Taluk

of the aggregate area of 92. 38 ^{Ac} ^{by}

appeared at Exhcer 87-2-0. But of this

an extent of 09. 24 ^{Ac} ^{by} appeared at Re:

124-2-0 has been confirmed as Quano

Draft as per order

Read: -

(2) (7)

Section	LN
File No	12-94
Serial No	7

Letter No 203 dated 22^d August 1895 from the Deputy Commr, Trunkur District, reporting upon the Petition of Kungingar of Heggaddevankote praying that the Liabls charged on his Inam lands at Amratur and other villages of the Kungikal Taluk may be cancelled.

✓
7593-5 84-2-98
87-243-92 11

See: 5

Order thereon: -

Ordered that a copy of the above and its enclosure and the Petition of Kungingar, be forwarded to the Supt of ^{Survey &} Inam Settlements, for a detailed report of the Settlement of the Inams in question.

2. Pending final orders of Govt on such report, the Dy Commr ^{be} may hold

in

in abeyance the recovery
of the arrears of Khalsat
due from Rangringar.

9-2-98

Rs. 7/2/90
To. 7/2/90

Secretary

The Dy Commr
Jalandhar District.
" Supt. of Survey
and Land Settlements.

Note

For the orders of the Bd Commr. (Rev. Commissioner W. Dn).
Macee See File No 243 of 1972 or

Secretary.
Submitted with reference
Orders conveyed in Serial No 38.

68. May an Encl.
be given to Petn
acc.

Section	22
Date	17/3/98
Serial No.	62-94

(27)

1897-98.

6306
R 3804

(8)

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

General and Revenue Departments

Dated 25th

Despatched 1st March February 98.

Received

No. 70

of 1897-98.

Enclosure No. 1

In reply to Government Proceedings
No. 7593-5 dated 11th Instant, has
R. 7243-92
the honor to forward, herewith, copies
of allocation statements shewing
the full particulars of the Inams
of Rangirugar in Amrathuru
and 6 other villages of the late
Huliyurdurga Taluk, and to state
that the petitioner's Inams, have
been allocated strictly in accordance
with the standing Rules in force.

2. The enclosed petitions of the
proceedings under reply are herewith
returned.

[Signature]

Rev
2/3/98

Supt of Inam Settle
Rev
2/3/98

322

[illegible]

ಯೋಜನಾ ಸ್ವರೂಪದ ಪ್ರತಿರೋಧಕರು
ಯೋಜನಾ ಸ್ವರೂಪದ ಪ್ರತಿರೋಧಕರು
ಯೋಜನಾ ಸ್ವರೂಪದ ಪ್ರತಿರೋಧಕರು

ಪಂಖರು

ಮಾಹಿತಿಗಳು ಹೆಸರು.	ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಕೆಲಸ ನಂಬರು.	ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಹೆಸರು.	ಕೇಸಿನ ಕೆಲಸ ನಂಬರು.	ಇನ್ನೂ ಸೂಚಕವಿಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಸಾಕೇವರ ವರೆ ಕರಾವಳಿ ನಂಬರು.	ಇನ್ನೂದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು.	ಇನ್ನೂದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು.	ಇನ್ನೂದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು.	ಇನ್ನೂದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು.	ಇನ್ನೂದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು.
೦.	೧.	೨.	೩.	೪.	೫.	೬.	೭.	೮.	೯.
ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧
ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧
ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧
ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧
ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧
ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧
ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧
ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧
ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	ಪಂಖರು	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧	೧೧೧

ಬಾಗಲಕು ದು.

ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಸೇವೆ			ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಸೇವೆ			ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಸೇವೆ			ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಸೇವೆ		
ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ರೋಗಿ ಹೆಸರು	ವಯಸ್ಸು	ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ರೋಗಿ ಹೆಸರು	ವಯಸ್ಸು	ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ರೋಗಿ ಹೆಸರು	ವಯಸ್ಸು	ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ರೋಗಿ ಹೆಸರು	ವಯಸ್ಸು
1	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	2	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	3	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	4	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
5	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	6	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	7	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	8	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
9	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	10	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	11	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	12	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
13	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	14	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	15	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	16	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
17	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	18	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	19	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	20	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
21	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	22	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	23	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	24	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
25	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	26	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	27	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	28	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
29	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	30	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	31	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	32	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
33	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	34	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	35	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	36	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
37	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	38	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	39	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	40	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
41	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	42	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	43	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	44	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
45	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	46	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	47	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	48	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
49	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	50	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	51	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	52	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
53	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	54	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	55	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	56	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
57	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	58	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	59	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	60	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
61	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	62	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	63	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	64	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
65	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	66	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	67	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	68	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
69	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	70	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	71	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	72	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
73	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	74	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	75	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	76	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
77	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	78	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	79	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	80	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
81	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	82	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	83	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	84	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
85	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	86	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	87	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	88	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
89	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	90	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	91	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	92	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
93	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	94	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	95	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	96	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45
97	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	98	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	99	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45	100	ಅಕ್ಕಿ	45

ವೆಂ|| ೩೩|| ಕೃಷ್ಣಾ ಪುನಃ ಸಾಧನವರ ಧರ್ಮ ನಂಬಿರು-ತಾರೀಖು

ਸ੍ਰੀ: ਸ੍ਰੀਮਤ:

श्री ०५५

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय

End to

14

[illegible]

১৯৩০
 ১৯৩১
 ১৯৩২

ರೇವಾನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆ.

ದಾಖಲಾಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ದಾಖಲಾಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

[illegible]

[illegible][illegible]

0	ಮೊಗೇಯ ಹಸರು.
6	ಗಾ,ವ,ದ ಶೇಷ ನಂಬರು.
8	ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳ ಜನರು.
10	ಕೇಸಿನ ಶೇಷ ನಂಬರು.
12	ಇನಾಂ ಸೂಪರಿಕಟೆಂಜಿಟೆ ಸಾಹೇಬರ ವರ ಕರಾನ್ ನಂಬರು.
14	ಇನಾಂಸಾರರ ಜನರು.
16	ಇನಾಮಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ.
18	ಇನಾಮ್ ಸೂಪರಿಕಟೆಂಜಿಟೆ ಸಾಹೇಬರ ವರ ಕರಾನ್ ರೂಸಿಯು ಅಥವಾ ಫೀಜಿನರಿ.
20	ಬೆಡ್ಲಿಂಗ್ ನಂಬರ್ 1 ನೇದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂ ದಾದ್ದರ ದರ ಸೋಪಮಾಡಿತ್ತು.

Draft as forwarded

2 drafts (4)

Read again:-

Govt. No. 7593-5
A724392

Section	21
File No	62-90
Serial No	13

11th February 1898: forwarding
to the Sup^t of Inam Settlements
a copy of letter from the
Dy Comm^r, Tankar District,
reporting upon the Petition of
Rungingar of Kegga division.
Koté praying for the can-
cellation of the Malakat
charged on his Inam lands
in Amistar and 6 other
villages of the Kemigal Taluk,
and calling for a detailed
report of the Settlement of
the Inams.

Read:- Docket. V^o 70 dated
20th February 1898 from
the Sup^t of Inam Settlements,
submitting copy of an
allocation statement giving
the full particulars of the
Inams.

Order thereon:-

The Petitioner, who
is a Bhopari equivalent to 53 acres of land, is entitled
to the enjoyment of the

✓
10 11420-218 of 8/7/98
V^o 245-2
8

marginally noted ⁴ ^Q ¹¹ village. extent. Assessment.
 lands measuring 192-38 ⁴ ^Q ¹¹ Haldigunda 7-26 (wet) 31-3-0
 assessed at Rs. 139-12-0, ¹¹ ^Q ¹¹ Ballakere 4-15 (dry) 3-3-0
^{these} ~~lands~~ an extent of 109-24 ⁴ ^Q ¹¹ Madilhalli 3-6 (dry) 22-4-0
 assessed at Rs. 124-12-0 was ⁴ ^Q ¹¹ Shankaragana 10-3 (do) 3-0-0
 confirmed as Enam, and ⁴ ^Q ¹¹ - halli }
 the rest, viz 83-11 ⁴ ^Q ¹¹ Padavagere } 12-18 (do) 63-0-0
 at Rs. 65, was treated as } 0-12 (garden) 1-0-0
 excess and brought under }
 full assessment. The Enfd ⁴ ^Q ¹¹ Kodagihalli 3-10 (wet) 17-0-0
 of Enam Settlements states }
 that the Petitioner's Enams } 3-1 (dry) 3-0-0
 have been allocated strictly } 7-27 (wet) 38-8-0
 in accordance with the }
 standing rules in force. }
 Total. 192-38 189-12-0

2. Under these circumstances,
 Govt decline to interfere
 in the matter, and direct
 that the Settlement made
 by the Enfd of Enam Settle-
 ments be given effect to.

Secretary
 7/6/98
 4/6/98

To the Dy. Commr.
 Tumkur District
 " Enfd of Enam Settle-
 ments.

Draft as per order

(6) 10.04.55 (42)
 10/04 బి.ఎ.కె.ఎస్. రెండవ

62-94

ಶ್ರೀಮಂತ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ
ಶ್ರೀಮಂತ ಕೃಷ್ಣಮೂರ್ತಿ
ಶ್ರೀಮಂತ ಕೃಷ್ಣಮೂರ್ತಿ

[illegible][illegible]

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿರುವ -

"By Order"

(43)

ದತ್ತಾತ್ರೇಯ
ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ
ಪ್ರಧಾನ -
ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ
ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ
ಒಳಗಡೆ

U. K. 96

Secretary

4/6/98

155
7/6/98